NOREON WORCOW
FLORIDA

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE:	ANNUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/D	DISCOVERY (CI)	
AIRS ID#: 0694842 DA	TE: <u>01/11/2012</u>	ARRIVE: <u>12:00</u>	DEPART	: <u>12:30</u>
FACILITY NAME: SO	RRENTO FACILITY			
FACILITY LOCATION	N: 31525 4TH ST			
	SORRENTO 32776	5-8554		
OWNER/AUTHORIZE Email: CONTACT NAME: M Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIO		014	PHONE: (407)886-55 Mobile: (407)468-78 PHONE: Mobile:	
Facility Section				
PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check I only one box)         □ IN COMPLIANCE       □ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE       □ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
1. Name(s) of facility rep	<b>RODUCTORY MEETING</b> presentative(s): <u>Mr. Paul Gord</u> <u>Closed Temporarily, see attac</u>		of checklist.	(check ☑ only one box for each question)
<ol> <li>Is the Authorized Rep If no, who is?:</li> </ol>	resentative still PAUL GORD	OON?		YesNo
	cility provide an administrative still ?			
4. Will facility be conduc If yes, was the compli-	cting VE test(s) during today's ance authority notified at least	s inspection? t 15 days in advance?		🗌 Yes 🛛No - 🗌 Yes 🗍No

## **Emissions Unit Section** <u>1 – Concrete Batch Plant subject to Reasonable Precautions</u>

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check 🗹 box for each d	only one question)
<ol> <li>Date of last inspection:</li> <li>Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?</li></ol>	🗌 Yes	□ No □ No □ No
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.	(check ☑ box for each d	only one question)
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and</u> <u>Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfi emissions by:	ned	
<ul> <li>a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the</li> <li>1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?</li> <li>2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?</li></ul>	- Yes	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		∐ No
particulate matter? 4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?		∐ No □ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	- 🗌 Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:</li> <li>a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?</li> <li>b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions &lt; 20% opacity?</li> <li>c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?</li> </ul>	🗌 Yes 🗌 Yes	□ No □ No

## Facility Section (continued)

CONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(1.1.1.1.	. 1
	(check 🗹 or	•
	box for each qu	lestion)
1. Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit:	<b>—</b>	<b>—</b>
a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant?		No No
b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants?		No No
c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	🗌 Yes	∐ No
2. Does this facility include:		
a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception	on of	
units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or		
Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?	Yes	No No
If YES, what non-exempt units or activities?		
b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gen		_
permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?	Ves	No
If YES, what other general permit units or activities?		
3. Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to:		
a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel?	TYes	□ No
b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline?		$\square$ No
c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas?		No
d. 1.3 million gallons of propane?		No
e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?		No No
gal diesel/yr +gal gasoline/yr +MM SCF nat. gas/yr +MM gal pro		
275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal prop	ane/yr	
4. Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consu		
for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	Ves	No

GENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•
1. Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	🗌 Yes	□ No
<ul><li>2. Does the owner or operator:</li><li>a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition?</li></ul>		□ No
<ul> <li>b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all terms and conditions of the air general permit?</li> <li>3. Has the owner or operator allowed you, as the duly authorized representative of the Department, access</li> </ul>		🗌 No
to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	_	🗌 No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	ł	(check ☑ o oox for each qu	•
1. Is the facility: stationary 🖾; relocatable 🗔; or consisting of both static concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? ( <i>If a</i>	ationary and relocatable	-	
<ol> <li>Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?</li></ol>		Yes	🗌 No
<ul> <li>a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Lo e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day pr</li> <li>b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification</li> </ul>	rior to changing location? tion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	D No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificati to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b	ion Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes Yes	🗌 No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purpor If YES, what was the purpose?	n that separate permit:		🗌 No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it w co-located at the permitted facility? If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		<ul><li>Yes</li><li>Yes</li></ul>	☐ No ☐ No
CHANGES Administrative Changes:	ł	(check $\mathbf{M}$ o ox for each q	•
<ol> <li>Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of th associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini</li> <li>If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days of New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:</li> </ol>	of the facility or any emissions units istrative change at the facility?		⊠ No □ No
<ol> <li>Since the last registration form submittal has there been         <ul> <li>Installation of any new process equipment?</li></ul></li></ol>	ntially different?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> <li>Yes</li> </ul>	⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No ⊠ No
<ol> <li>If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?</li> </ol>		itted	🗌 No
John Vigliotti	01/11/2012		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	01/11/2017		

**COMMENTS:** Florida Department of Environmental Protection ("Department") representative John Vigliotti, Engineering Specialists, visited the above mentioned facility in order to do a baseline Level 2-Compliance Inspection. The facility appeared to be closed at the time of the inspection. The facility has been subject to the following rules: Method 9 V.E. testing Rule No. 62-296.413(2), F.A.C.;(thirty Min.), with a minuimum Silo Rate of 25 Tons/Hr. During Loading. Rule 62-210.300(3) F.A.C. (Rolling 12- Month fuel consumption, past five years). Rule 62-296.414(2) F.A.C. (Unconfined Field Emissions). The last V.E. was conducted on 03/12/2010. Phone calls were made to Mr. Paul Gordon in order to verify the status of the facility and he stated that the facility is idle and that the company intends on keeping the plant on hold until the economy picks up.

INSPECTION COMMENTS/RECOMMENDATIONS:

Facility-wide Conditions:

No pollution control devices appeared to be circumvented or improperly operated.

No areas were observed where precautions had not been taken to minimize pollutant emissions or prevent the release of unconfined particulate.

No objectionable odors were detected on or off the site.

No visible emission were observed coming from the various exhaust stacks. A method-9 observation was not necessary.